IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

MARLENE MANLING HSIEH,

Plaintiff.

Case No. 3:20-cv-01758-MO

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

MULTNOMAH COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY
SERVICES, MULTNOMAH COUNTY
ANIMAL SERVICES, CHRISTIAN
HOLDEN, Animal Control Officer II
Multnomah County Animal Services,
JEANETTE FARRELL, Field Service
Supervisor Multnomah County Animal
Services, JACKIE ROSE, Director of
Multnomah County Animal Services at the
time, KIM PEOPLES, Director of
Multnomah County Department of Community
Services at the time,

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On January 5, 2021 this Court, applying the doctrine of *Younger* abstention, STAYED Marlene Mangling Hsieh's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action for monetary damages pending resolution of her appeal for her conviction for animal abuse before the Oregon Court of Appeals. [ECF 18]. On September 9, 2021 the Court of Appeals issued its opinion affirming the trial court's decision. [ECF 28] Ex. 1. The Court of Appeals found no violation of Ms. Hseih's Fourth Amendment rights because the exigent circumstances exception to the Fourth Amendment

applied. [ECF 28] Ex. 1 at 16–17. Now that the state court has ruled, a stay is no longer proper under *Younger* abstention. *See Arevalo v. Hennessy*, 882 F.3d 763, 765 (9th Cir. 2018) (internal citations omitted). Because the Court of Appeals found that Ms. Hsieh's Fourth Amendment rights were not violated, she no longer has a claim for \$8.8 million in damages under § 1983.

Therefore, I DISMISS the complaint with prejudice.

DATED this _ (4 day of October, 2021

MICHAEL W. MOSMAN United States District Judge